

### Meeting summary

---

<b>Reference:</b>	19089 – Working Group meeting 2
<b>Meeting Name:</b>	Mr Fluffy Legacy Project – Working Group Meeting
<b>Meeting date:</b>	27 June 2019
<b>Meeting location:</b>	RPS Office – 65 Canberra Avenue, Griffith, ACT

---

### Attendees

Name	Initials	Company	Role
Sue Packer	SP	CERG	Chair
Chris Healy	CH	CERG	Member
Chris Redmond	CR	CERG	Member
Rosemary Crowther	RC	CERG	Member
Marion McConnell	MM	Working Group	Member
Felicity Prideaux	FP	Working Group	Member
Jenny Henderson	JH	Working Group	Member
Barbara O'Dwyer	BO	Working Group	Member
Kalina Koloff	KK	RPS	Project Leader
Lizzy Green	LG	RPS	Project Coordinator

---

### Apologies

Name	Initials	Company	Role
Michael Hopkins	MH	CERG	Member

---

## Agenda

Ref no.	Item	Presenter	Time
1.	Reflection on engagement	KK	20 mins
2.	Discussion paper	KK	60 mins
3.	Timeline	KK	20 mins
4.	Other business	All	15 mins
5.	Next meeting and proposed agenda items	All	5 mins

## Summary

On 27 June 2019, the Mr Fluffy Legacy Project Working Group held their second meeting. The working group discussed the draft Discussion Paper, including the foreword, proposed content and recommendations.

### Key discussion points

- The first draft of the Discussion Paper has been completed, it will be updated to include any changes required by the working group, as well as any submissions received before the end of the week. The Discussion Paper includes quotes directly from the contributions received to ensure that the community's sentiment is reflected correctly.
- The Draft Discussion Paper will be shared with the community for consultation before being submitted to the ACT Government. There will be three weeks for feedback on the Discussion Paper before submission to ACT Government. The document will be available on the Mr Fluffy Legacy Project website with an open text form for comment.
- A media release and letter to the ACT Government database will be released to announce the draft Discussion Paper consultation to the community.
- In reflecting on the engagement process, it was felt that there was a range of perspectives provided across the participating group. There were participants from outside the scheme, those who conducted demolition without Government support, as well as those still living in Mr Fluffy affected homes.
- There was discussion by the Group about whether certain people or groups may have been missed in the consultation process. It was acknowledged that we are only able to measure the responses of those who participate, and we cannot speculate about those who aren't involved in the consultation process.
- The working group read over the draft Discussion Paper and provided a range of feedback and changes.